

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 09/12/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,386	08/13/2001	Arthur Palmer	1650.65513	6331
7	7590 09/12/2002			
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.			EXAMINER	
Suite 2500 300 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606		•	JASTRZAB, JEFFREY R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3762	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
į.	6 Office Action Summer	09/928,386	PALMER, ARTHUR			
	6 Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAN INC DATE AND	Jeffrey R. Jastrzab	3762			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)					
	Status					
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u>November 2001</u> .				
	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,19-22,24,26 and 28-31</u> is/are rejected.					
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-18,23, 25 and 27</u> is/are objected to.					
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	Application Papers					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	a) ☐ All , b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received					
1	15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)					
3	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			
u.s PT	Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Acti	on Summary	Part of Paper No. 3			

Application/Control Number: 09/928,386

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Specifically, the claiming of structures being in contact with (the aorta) or implanted within the body amounts to an inferential recitation of the body, which renders these claims non-statutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14 and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 14 fails to further limit the structure of the pump but merely provides and intended use. Claim 21 lacks antecedence per se for "each pumping cycle".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3762

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 10, 12-15, 19, 21, 22, 26, 28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Poirier, US-4133616.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 8-11, 14, 15, 19-21 and 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gealow, US-5222980.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5, 6, 7, 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Poirier. Poirier discloses the invention substantially as claimed less

Application/Control Number: 09/928,386

Art Unit: 3762

the specific bladder inflation media for inflating the diaphragm being a fluid, gel or semisolid material. However, absent any teaching of criticality or unexpected result, it would have been obvious to those in the art at the time of invention to have substituted any known inflation medium for the gas of Poirier as a mere substitution of known functional equivalents for bladder expansion, and adapting that system to include an appropriate pump (e.g. hydraulic) would have been well within the scope of design choice. As to claim 11 although the specific bladder material of Poirier is not taught to be latex, changing the flexible bladder to any known biocompatible material would have been a mere choice in engineering design to the routineer in the art. As to Claim 20, the device of Poirier is intended for left ventricle assistance, however merely adding additional devices for an extra tier of patient assistance, i.e. at a different location in the circulatory system would have amounted to an obvious use of the device as widely accepted in the art.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gealow. Similar comments apply to Gealow as above for the substitution of the type of bladder inflation medium.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 24 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 5

Claims 16-18, 23, 25 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pacella et al. teach an occluder for circulatory assistance with an inflatable bladder. Robinson et al. teach a two-bladder pump system for heart simulation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Jastrzab whose telephone number is (703) 308-2097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30am to 3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angie Sykes, can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for this Art Unit is (703) 305-3590.

Jeffrey R. Jastrzab Primary Examiner Group 3762

September 6, 2002